





















| | 10K HDD | SSD | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Price/GB | ~.05cent/GB- Desktop ~.1020 cents/GB Mobile | ~\$2.00/GB | HDD & SSD BOTH have |
| Capacity / Price Source: Newegg 7/30/10 **10kRPM-WD vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | 300 GB: \$259 600 GB: \$279 | 64GB: \$124 80GB: \$207 126GB: \$280 255GB: \$1069 500GB: \$1596 | appropriate storage applications- pending performance and customer requirements. • SSD for High Performance/ Fast Response (IOPs/sec), certain Tier • HDD for Lowe Cost, Large Storage Bequirements |
| Volume Manufacturability | \$ | \$\$\$ | |
| Technology Areal Density Growth | 10 Tpsi | 20-22nm limitation | |
| Target Market Segment | ALL- High Areal Density requirement | High End/Performance/Tablet | |
| Overall Performance | Performance Does Not Significantly degrade/change over time | Write History/Workload Affect Performance & hence performance changes over time | |
| Read/Write Performance | Good | Best: Faster 4X-10X | |
| Power- Active Affects both consumption and thermals**10kRPM vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Read: 6.2W Write: 6.2W | Active: 4.3W | |
| Power- Idle Affects both consumption and thermals**10kRPM vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Idie: 4.3 W | Best: Idle: .15W | |
| Acoustics User Experience **10kRPM-WD vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Idle: 30 dBA Seek: 37 dBA | "Silent " | |

| | 10K HDD | SSD | 7200 RPM SS-Hybrid |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Price/GB | ~.05cent/GB- Desktop ~.1020 cents/GB Mobile | ~\$2.00/GB | ~.20-40cents/GB |
| Capacity / Price Source: Newegg 7/30/10 **10kRPM-WD vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | 300 GB: \$259 600 GB: \$279 | 64GB: \$124 80GB: \$207 128GB: \$290 256GB: \$1069 500GB: \$1596 | ¼ the price of SSD ½ the price of 10K HDD |
| Volume Manufacturability | \$ | \$\$\$ | \$ |
| Technology Areal Density Growth | 10 Tpsi | 20-22nm limitation | 10 Tpsi |
| Target Market Segment | ALL- High Areal Density requirement | High End/Performance/Tablet | All |
| Overall Performance | Performance Does Not Significantly degrade/change over time | Write History/Workload Affect Performance & hence performance changes over time | Twice as fast as traditional HDD, Performance does not degrade over time. |
| Read/Write Performance | Good | Best: Faster 4X-10X | Same as 7200-RPM HDD |
| Power- Active Affects both consumption and thermals**10kRPM vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Read: 6.2W Write: 6.2W | Active: 4.3W | Read: 2.4 W Write: 2.3W |
| Power- Idle Affects both consumption and thermals**10kRPM vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Idle: 4.3 W | Best: Idle: .15W | Idle: 0.8 W |
| Acoustics User Experience **10kRPM-WD vs SSHD SSD Data- Intel | Idle: 30 dBA Seek: 37 dBA | "Silent " | ldle: 23 dBA Seek: 26 dBA |

Summary

- HDDs have been a critical part of the individual, local, and now global cloud systems and will continue to remain a critical component
- · Explosion of Digital Content Growth driving storage demand
- As part of the Cloud expansion, investment in HDD and other data center components is as critical as ever.
- •By 2012 >800M HDDs will ship as compared to only 20M SSDs
- •Cost is the over-riding factor for success in the client space
- · Enterprise Storage Tier 0 is most likely application for SSD
- •Hybrid drive is an attractive solution Seagate 500GBMomentus Hybrid drive, \$124 vs. Intel 164GB SSD,\$420



















The Diffraction limit

For HAMR to be successful, we must have optical spot sizes smaller than the diffraction limit.

We've known for over 100 years that diffraction limits the minimum optical spot size of focused propagating light waves in the far field

$$d_{FWHM} \cong \frac{0.5\lambda}{NA}$$

Short wavelength example

 $\left. \begin{array}{l} -\lambda = 405 \text{ nm} \\ -n = 1.5 \\ -\sin \theta = 0.85 \end{array} \right\} \text{ d}_{\text{FWHM}} \approx 160 \text{ nm}$



Abbe, Archiv f. Miroskop. Anat., 9 (1873) 413. Lord Rayleigh, Phil. Mag., 5 (1896) 167.















